Part I: External Evidence

Functional vs. Lexical Categories
The main hit the dog

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>the dog</th>
<th>Np</th>
<th>( \Lambda )</th>
<th>Np</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \Lambda )</td>
<td>( \Lambda )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \Lambda )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(12) a. First language acquisition (Radford 1990)

(11) a. Two independent frame structures

Results

(10) a. Ramification: lexical items possible

Possible speech errors

(9) a. McCloskey: merger of phonetic clusters

Impossible speech errors

(8) a. McCloskey: merger of phonetic clusters

(7) Speech errors (Germán 1975)

(6) s. McCloskey: merger of phonetic clusters

(5) s. McCloskey: merger of phonetic clusters

(4) s. McCloskey: merger of phonetic clusters

(3) s. McCloskey: merger of phonetic clusters

(2) s. McCloskey: merger of phonetic clusters

(1) s. McCloskey: merger of phonetic clusters
b. The boat appeared/disappeared?

the destruction of the temple
 Further consequences:

d. The boat was destroyed. Case
(28) a. The boat was destroyed.

by INDC

The temple was destroyed.
(29) a. The temple was destroyed.

further consequence:

determine structure, the internal level

Proposal: The external levels: -subtree (the external level) and surface string (fP), jointly.

b. John was seen. b. The boat was examined.
(30) a. John was hit. b. The bottle was broken.

What is the result?

b. drink produced a widely accepted.
(31) a. The drink was unremarked.

Adopted passive

b. The passenger mouthed disapprovs.
[2] John was hit. (Structure)

[2] John was hit. (Structure)

Problem: How to acquire the passive formation including the following information?

c. John was seen.
(32) a. John was hit.

The acquisition of passive (Tbps 1986)

b. The walls hit by the bottle.
(33) a. The bottle hit the walls.

b. John kicked the bucket.
(34) a. John kicked the bucket.

We broke bread over the agreement.
(35) a. John broke bread over the agreement.

18) a. John took advantage of Bill.

17) Office lunch with a special dinner do not allow passivation (Spread)
Part II. Internal Evidence
c: Maximal alignment: picture Mary
p: picture of (Mary)
q: (the picture of (Mary))
15) a picture (Mary) (see: Mary)
Me: Maximal alignment: John (see: Mary)
pp: a John (may have seen (Mary))
ID: ((a John (may have seen Mary))))

The topological speech is the maximal alignment of two structures, one which is given by 

* Preliminary. Because it is semantically simpler or dependent.

** Simplified by not subcategorizing for the associated functional categories.

*** Simplified by another element, the governing element, shows it to be semantically

1. Presented because the P is associated with the P in morphologically simplex in a paradigm.

2. Condition. The lack of an P can be determined in any of three ways:

I read a book. (I)
I can read in German.

3. The child determines the kernel from the infinitival form.

4. Not kernel by extra P Condition

5. by PP Conjugate

p: he/ she/ it by (Mary)
q: he/ she/ it by (Mary)

6. If P selected for IP at DS, then P is a sister of specifier of L at PP.

7. General case (nonkernel)

8. The IP Conjugate

9. Kernel case (nonkernel)