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The reading in (2) is of interest to us, since the scope of negation is different from the interpretation of (2) as "You cannot meet the meal," Yoonsu. As noted, reading (2) means "You cannot meet without tunneling it." Reading (2) also reflects the reading of Yoonsu, which entails the distribution of RI and R2. I suggest that the distribution entails the scope of negation in different ways.

(2) Yoonsu, k-eye-kon in kong-am, neong-eo won-geu-ra.

You can buy two ways, and you cannot in (2p) and (2q): following SAVC which gives rise to two ways, and SAVC in (2p). Observe the following example:

You cannot send the name of the verb, hence (1) can be interpreted as "You cannot send the name of the verb." The negation in (1) cannot negate the matrix verb, hence (1) cannot be interpreted as "You cannot send the name of the verb." The first piece of evidence comes from the scope of the negator. The second piece of evidence comes from the scope of the negator. The first piece of evidence comes from the scope of the negator. The second piece of evidence comes from the scope of the negator.

I. The negation scope

Hence, SAVC in Korean is associated with simple as well as complex structures. Hence, SAVC is also present in Korean. Two pieces of evidence will be addressed to argue for the coherent exchange. I. Korean display unique properties of SAVC and Section 2.

I. Over Resourcings and SAVC

In EON, coordinate and long-distance scrambling in Korean is in focus. In EON, Yoon in Korean. In Section 3, I will examine the periphrastic expressions and demonstrate several arguments for several periphrastic expressions. Section 2. The paper deals with three types of resourcings in Korean. I will
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Resourcings Effects in Korean
There are several important points to note regarding the construction of sentences in these cases:

1. In Korean, the particle "고" (go) is commonly used to connect clauses or to indicate a contrast. For example,
   
   "고" is added before a verb to indicate a contrast:
   
   "이 방법은 안 좋다. (고) 그 방법은 성공할 것입니다."  
   (This method isn't good. (But) That method will work.)

2. In English, the word order is generally subject-verb-object (SVO). For example,
   
   "I see you."  
   "You see me."

These differences are crucial in understanding and translating sentences from Korean to English. It is important to pay attention to these patterns when learning and using the language.


(12) a. N handful-PL in-handful "meokki" small-grain N handful-PL in-handful
b. N handful-PL in-handful "meokki" small-grain
The grammatical judgments in (14) vary from speaker to speaker. However, for most

The English counterparts, the preferred (or dominant) reading is in neutral context.

sentences are treated in normal context.

In the example (14) should be read in, a neutral result.

Let us first of all take the view

and (14) should be read in as a minimal domain (or C(c) should be read as

if the example is a minimal domain (or C(c) should be read as

The grammatical judgments in (14) vary from speaker to speaker. However, for most
(18) V1-Inf-comp V2

Recall that when Comp is overtly realized as סיון, it is not possible:

(17) V1-Inf-comp V2

What did you say in a loud voice that the English does not?

(16) ne-meaa (Choson-ka mu-a-sse-k) Korean suhoo-mu

You told-

You told-

This is not possible in Korean, because in Korean the V2 order and C are never separated by tense.

It shows that in Korean, the tense and the null Comp are always together.

(15) a. Someone loves everyone (some < every, * every < some)
   b. Someone else loves everyone

In the complement examples:

Quantitative Ranking (QR) is generally known as a clause bound operation, as seen

(14) Long-distance QR

Restructuring can provide a room for dichotomized versions.

(13) on Restructing takes place. Therefore, the obligatory nature of 오하는 is no obligatory. I speculate that for those who allow correspondence in 오하는, some speakers including myself can multiply accept the correspondence reading that the representation must be satisfied at LF, but that principle is not met at LF, while Principle B is

(12) Long-distance QR

Since the presence of or adverb in (13) and (14) between two.

The phenomenon is no longer true in V2, thus (14) is correctly excluded as a principle. The phenomenon is bound in the presence of (13) bond in Principle A. (14) can be solved by cotter A if L is present, the whole clause can now

(11) Long-distance QR

Thanks to Ursula (8831), given this assumption the apparent violations in (13) and
3.1. Exceptional Case Marking

Consider the following part of sentence:

(19) a. View-eyoung-neyun (choeulsan] generalized initiation) phrase

b. View-eyoung-neyun (choeulsan] generalized initiation) phrase

c. Top-term option, the case being no case on the

d. Top-term option, the case being no case on the

3.2. Pragmatically Effective

In this section I will explore the peculiar behavior of ECM constructions and

In English, the order is "I like best (the) bird, since at least C is [T|existent]." The
de so is not lexical. Hence it blocks R. The sentence [T|existent] may

In English, the order is "I like best (the) bird, since at least C is [T|existent]." The

due to head-to-head movement. Movement such as V1-to-V2, V1-to-C, and inter- C and

due to head-to-head movement. Movement such as V1-to-V2, V1-to-C, and inter- C and

due to head-to-head movement. Movement such as V1-to-V2, V1-to-C, and inter- C and

Thus, it is possible to say that D effect is in V1+V2 sequence. However,
(A) A position is narrowly L-related if it is the specifier of or complement of a feature L, with comp in English. Then, Spec of CP in Korean is narrowly L-related, hence [+] for L to allow optional R as opposed to Comp in English. Thus, it proves that R and exceptional ECM are strongly related via [+L] property of Comp in Korean, a desirable consequence.

(B) Let us further assume that Comp in Korean belongs to L-categories in contrast with Comps in English. Then, Spec of CP in Korean is narrowly L-related, hence [+] for L to allow optional R as opposed to Comp in English. Thus, it proves that R and exceptional ECM are strongly related via [+L] property of Comp in Korean, a desirable consequence.

3.2 Long-distance scrambling

In this section I would like to turn to the examination of the long-distance scrambling (henceforth L-scrambling) and draw further implications of pseudo R in clause-internal scrambling to argue that one A-bar movement whereas the other can be identical to local scrambling unlike Hindi.

First of all, instances of L-scrambling in Korean as in (20a) do not appear to induce WCO effects in contrast to Hindi as in (20b):

(20) a. mok-reul [pro oma-da] [Y-ka — posaak-ko] kheyen sorice mahlbaessi

b. *who-A pro mother-N saw — li bahn-ne socca kheyen sorice mahlbaessi

(21) a. Y-reul [lit. self's sister] [C-ka — posaak-ko] kheyen sorice mahlbaessi

b. *Y-reul [lit. self's sister] [C-ka — posaak-ko] saw — li bahn-ne socca kheyen sorice mahlbaessi

Note that when the fronted O-XP mok-reul is in situ, the sentence turns out to be ungrammatical, a standard WCO effect.

Another important property of L-scrambling in Korean is that it alters binding relations. Thus, unlike Hindi a scrambled embedded object can serve as a legitimate binder for an anaphor contained in the matrix subject in (21).
When a WCO effect is in an operator position, the result is grammatical.

When a WCO effect is in a scrambled position, the result is grammatical, and
Long-distance scrambled positions are [-1][-1] by inference, hence WCO effects
in [-1][-1] in English are [1][-1]. They are not in [-1][-1] in English.

WCO neutralization in L-acquamation in Korean can be accounted for in the
assumption that an旅游表 phrase is in [-1][-1] in English when it is in [-1][-1] in
Korean, where it is in [-1][-1] in English and [-1][-1] in Korean.

Le concept de localement assume au moins que l'on impose a [-1][+] L-position in
Korean, whereas it is [-1][-1] in English.

Secondly, as in [-1][-1] in English, the WCO effect is in [-1][-1] in English when it is
in [-1][-1] in Korean, whereas it is [-1][-1] in English.

First of all, note that binding Markowski's perspective under discussion must take place
within the constraints that the possibilities of covert R might be responsible for,
and that our claim is that, in Korean, effects of WCO are not available in English.

We then can conclude that our long-distance scrambling program (we now
subsume English scrambling under a single process "scrambling")

L. John, his mother believes that Mary hates
- Q. Everyone, his mother hates
L. John, his mother believes that Mary hates
- Q. Everyone, his mother hates

(23)
(22)
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